arXiv:1109.3304v1 [math.FA] 15 Sep 2011

ON COMPACTNESS OF LAPLACE AND STIELTJES TYPE TRANSFORMATIONS IN LEBESGUE SPACES

ELENA P. USHAKOVA

Abstract. We obtain criteria for integral transformations of Laplace and Stieltjes type to be compact on Lebesgue spaces of real functions on the semiaxis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $L^r(I)$ denote the Lebesgue space of all measurable functions $f(x)$ integrable to a power $0 < r < \infty$ on an interval $I \subseteq [0, \infty) =: \mathbb{R}^+$, that is

$$L^r(I) = \Big\{ f \colon \|f\|_{r,I} := \Big(\int_I |f(x)|^r \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/r} < \infty \Big\}.$$

If $I = \mathbb{R}^+$ we write $L^r := L^r(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and $\|f\|_r$ means $\|f\|_{r,\mathbb{R}^+}$. For $r = \infty$ we denote

$$L^\infty(I) = \Big\{ f \colon \|f\|_{\infty,I} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t \in I} |f(t)| < \infty \Big\}.$$

Take $\lambda > 0$, $p \geq 1$, $q > 0$ and put $p' := p/(p-1)$. Assume $v \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $w \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ are weight functions. In this article we study compactness properties of two particular cases of an integral transformation $T : L^p \to L^q$ of the form

$$Tf(x) := w(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} k_T(x,y) f(y) v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.1}$$

with a non-negative kernel $k_T(x,y)$ decreasing in variable y. We take as T the Laplace integral operator

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f(y) v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.2}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47G10.

Key words and phrases. Compactness, Boundedness, Lebesgue space, Integral operator, Laplace transformation, Stieltjes transformation.

with the outer weight function $w(x) = 1$ and $k_L(x,y) := \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda}$, and a Stieltjes type transformation of the form

$$Sf(x) := w(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.3}$$

with $k_S(x,y) := (x^\lambda + y^\lambda)^{-1}$. These operators are related to each other by (4.7).

With an appropriate choice of λ, v and w transformations $\mathcal{L}$ and S become special cases of conventional convolution transformation $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)G(x-t)\mathrm{d}t$, $-\infty < x < \infty$ [27, Ch.8, §§ 8.5, 8.6]. The Stieltjes type operator (1.3) has also connections with Hilbert's double series theorem (see [4] for details). Some interesting properties and applications of the Laplace type transform (1.2) to differential equations are indicated in [7, Ch. 5].

In this work we find explicit necessary and sufficient conditions for $L^p - L^q$–compactness of $\mathcal{L}$ and S expressed in terms of kernels k_L, k_S, weight functions v, w and properties of the Lebesgue spaces. The results may be useful for study of characteristic values of the transformations. All cases of summation parameters $p \geq 1$ and $q > 0$ are considered. If $0 < p < 1$ then $T : L^p \to L^q$ is compact in trivial case only (see [18, Theorem 2]). Note that $L^2 - L^2$ compactness of (1.2) and (1.3) was studied in [25, 26]. We generalize these results for all positive p and q.

Our main method is well-known and consists in splitting an initial operator into a sum of a compact operator and operators with small norms (see e.g. [8], [13], [16]).

The article is organized as follows. Section 3 is devoted to the compactness of the Laplace transformation (1.2). Criteria for the compactness of the Stieltjes operator (1.3) appear in Section 4. Note that the case of negative λ in S ensues from the results for positive λ by simple modification of the weight functions v and w. In Section 5 we discuss cases $p = \infty$ and $q = \infty$. Some auxiliary results are collected in Section 2.

Throughout the article we assume v, w to be non-negative. Products of the form $0 \cdot \infty$ are supposed to be equal to 0. An equivalence $A \approx B$ means either $A = c_0 B$ or $c_1 A \le B \le c_2 A$, where c_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$ are constants depending on λ, p, q only. The symbol $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes integers, χ_E stands for a characteristic function of a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. In addition, we use =: and := for marking new quantities.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this part we set auxiliary results concerning boundedness of the transformations and adduce some known results on compactness of integral operators we shall need later on in our proofs.

2.1. **Boundedness.**

We start from a statement for an integral operator T from L^p to L^q, when $p = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. [23, Theorem 3.2] *Suppose* $0 < q < \infty$ *and a non-negative kernel* $k_T(x, y)$ *of the operator* (1.1) *is non-increasing in* y *for each* x*. For all* $f \ge 0$ *the best constant* C *in the inequality*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} k_T(x,y) f(y) v(y) \mathrm{d}y\right)^q w^q(x) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(y) \mathrm{d}y \tag{2.1}$$

is unchanged when $v(y)$ *is replaced by* $\bar{v}_0(y)$*, where*

$$\bar{v}_{c_1}(t) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{c_1 < x < t} v(x).$$

Consider the Laplace operator $\mathcal{L}$. Denote $r := \frac{pq}{p-q}$, $q' := \frac{q}{q-1}$,

$$\alpha^q := \min\{2, 2^{q-1}\}, \quad \beta^q := \begin{cases} \frac{2}{q-1}, & 1 < q \le 2, \\ 2^{q-1}, & q > 2, \end{cases} \quad V_{c_1}(t) := \int_{c_1}^t v^{p'},$$

$$F_{c_1}(y) := \int_{c_1}^y f(t) v(t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad \mathcal{F}(x) := \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f(y) v(y) \mathrm{d}y,$$

$$A_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) := \left(t^{-\lambda} - c_2^{-\lambda}\right)^{1/q} \left[V_{c_1}(t)\right]^{1/p'}, \quad A_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \sup_{c_1 < t < c_2} A_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t),$$

$$A_{\mathcal{L}} := A_{\mathcal{L},\mathbb{R}^+}, \quad B_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \left(\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \left[t^{-\lambda} - c_2^{-\lambda}\right]^{r/q} \left[V_{c_1}(t)\right]^{r/q'} v^{p'}(t) \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r},$$

$$B_{\mathcal{L}} := B_{\mathcal{L},\mathbb{R}^+} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B_{\mathcal{L}}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r}, \quad B_{\mathcal{L}}(t) := t^{-\lambda r/q}\big[V_0(t)\big]^{r/q'} v^{p'}(t),$$

$$B_q(t) := t^{-\lambda/q}v(t), \ \ \bar{B}_q(t) := t^{-\lambda/q}\bar{v}_0(t), \ \ B_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} y^{-\lambda p'} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/p'},$$

$$B_{q',\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \left(\int_{c_1}^{c_2} [t^{-\lambda} - c_2^{-\lambda}]^{q/(1-q)} t^{-\lambda-1}\bar{v}_{c_1}(t)^{q/(1-q)}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{(1-q)/q},$$

$$B_{q'} = B_{q',\mathbb{R}^+} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B_{q'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{(1-q)/q}, \ \ B_{q'}(t) := t^{-\lambda/(1-q)-1}\bar{v}_0(t)^{q/(1-q)},$$

$$D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := c_2^{-\lambda/q}\big[V_{c_1}(c_2)\big]^{1/p'}.$$

Various conditions were found for the boundedness of the Laplace transformation (1.2) in Lebesgue spaces (see e.g. [3], [5]). Convenient for our purposes $L^p - L^q$ criterion for $\mathcal{L}$ was obtained in [24, Theorem 1] (see also [17, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 2.2. [17, 24] *The following estimates are true for the norm of* $\mathcal{L}$ *:*
(i) *Denote* $\alpha_1 := \alpha q^{-2/q}$ *and* $\beta_1 := \beta(q')^{1/p'}$. *If* $1 < p \le q < \infty$ *then*

$$\alpha_1 A_{\mathcal{L}} \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \beta_1 A_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

(ii) *Let* $1 \le q < p < \infty$. *If* $q = 1$ *then* $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\to L^1} = B_p$. *If* $q > 1$ *then*

$$\alpha_2 B_{\mathcal{L}} \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \beta_2 B_{\mathcal{L}}$$

with $\alpha_2 := \alpha(p'q/r)^{1/q'}q^{-1/q}$, $\beta_2 := \beta(p')^{1/q'}$.
(iii) *Put* $\alpha_3 := q^{-1/q}$, $\beta_3 := p^{1/p}(p')^{1/q'}q^{-2/q}r^{1/r}$. *If* $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ *then*

$$\alpha_3 \|B_q\|_{p'} \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \beta_3 B_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

(iv) *Let* $0 < q \le 1 = p$. *If* $0 < q < 1$ *then*

$$\alpha_4 \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1\to L^q} \le \beta_4 B_{q'},$$

where $\alpha_4 := q^{-1/q}$ *and* $\beta_4 := \lambda^{(1-q)/q}q^{-2/q}(1-q)^{-(1-q)/q}$. *If* $q = 1$ *then*

$$2^{-\lambda}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda}\bar{v}_0(t) \le 2^{-\lambda}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda}\bar{v}_0(t) \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1\to L^1}$$

$$\le \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda}\bar{v}_0(t) \le \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda}\bar{v}_0(t). \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.3. Integration by parts gives the equality

$$B_{\mathcal{L}}^r = (\lambda p'/q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \big[V_0(t)\big]^{r/p'} t^{-\lambda r/q-1} \mathrm{d}t,$$

which is true either $v \in L^{p'}[0,t]$, $t > 0$, or $q > 1$ (see [23, Remark, p. 8]).

Remark 2.4. $B_q(t)$ in (iv) may be replaced by $\bar{B}_q(t)$ (see Theorem 2.1).

Remark 2.5. The lower estimates in (2.2) can be proved by applying a function $f_t(y) = t^{-1}\chi_{(t,2t)}(y)$, $t > 0$ into (2.1) with v replaced by $\bar{v}_0$.

The results (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 rest on [24, Lemma 1]. The following statement is its modification for the Laplace operator of the form $f \to \mathcal{L}(f\chi_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle})$, where $0 \le c_1 < c_2 \le \infty$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes any of intervals $(\cdot,\cdot)$, $[\cdot,\cdot]$, $[\cdot,\cdot)$ or $(\cdot,\cdot]$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $1 < q < \infty$. *Assume* $f \ge 0$ *and suppose the following conditions are satisfied:* $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathcal{F}^q(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$, $\lim_{t\to c_1} t^{-\lambda}\big[V_{c_1}(t)\big]^{q/p'} = 0$, $\lim_{t\to c_2} t^{-\lambda}\big[V_{c_1}(t)\big]^{q/p'} < \infty$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^q\lambda q^{-2}\int_{c_1}^{c_2} F_{c_1}^q(y)y^{-\lambda-1}\mathrm{d}y + \alpha^q q^{-2}F_{c_1}^q(c_2)c_2^{-\lambda} &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\mathcal{F}^q(x)\mathrm{d}x\\ &\le (\beta^q\lambda/q)\int_{c_1}^{c_2} F_{c_1}^q(y)y^{-\lambda-1}\mathrm{d}y + (\beta^q/q)F_{c_1}^q(c_2)c_2^{-\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6 modifies Theorem 2.2 as follows.

Theorem 2.7. (i) *Let* $1 < p \le q < \infty$. *The operator* $\mathcal{L}$ *is bounded from* $L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *to* L^q *if and only if* $A_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$. *Moreover,*

$$\alpha_1\big[A_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\big] \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q} \le \beta_1\big[A_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\big].$$

(ii) *If* $1 < q < p < \infty$ *then* $\mathcal{L}$ *is bounded iff* $B_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$, *where*

$$\alpha_2\big[B_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\big] \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q} \le \beta_2\big[B_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\big].$$

(iii) *Let* $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$. *The Laplace operator* $\mathcal{L}$ *is bounded from* $L^p\langle c_1, c_2\rangle$ *to* L^q *if* $B_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$. *If* $\mathcal{L} : L^p\langle c_1, c_2\rangle \to L^q$ *is bounded then* $\|B_q\|_{p',\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$. *We also have*

$$\alpha_3 \|B_q\|_{p',\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q} \le \beta_3\big[B_{\mathcal{L},\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\big].$$

(iv) *Let* $0 < q < 1 = p$. *If* $\mathcal{L}$ *is* $L^1 - L^q$*–bounded then* $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} B_q(t) < \infty$. *The operator* $\mathcal{L}$ *is bounded from* L^1 *to* L^q *if* $B_{q',\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$ *and* $D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$. *Besides,*

$$\alpha_4 \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} B_q(t) \le \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q} \le \beta_4 B_{q',\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} + q^{-2/q} D_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}.$$

Remark 2.8. The constants α_i, β_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, in Theorem 2.7 are defined as in Theorem 2.2. Moreover, if $\langle c_1, c_2\rangle = \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$\text{(i)} \lim_{t\to 0} A_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = 0, \text{(ii)} \lim_{t\to\infty} A_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

we have $D_{\mathbb{R}^+} = 0$ and Theorem 2.2 has become a case of Theorem 2.7.

Now we start to consider the Stieltjes operator S. Add some denotations

$$\mathcal{V}_t(\infty) := \int_t^\infty \frac{v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y}{y^{\lambda p'}}, \quad W_{c_1}(t) := \int_{c_1}^t w^q, \quad \mathcal{W}_t(c_2) := \int_t^{c_2} \frac{w^q(x)\mathrm{d}x}{x^{\lambda q}}.$$

Boundedness criteria for S in Lebesgue spaces were found in [2, 10, 21]. The following Theorem 2.9 contains some of them.

Theorem 2.9. (i) [2, Theorem 1] *The operator* S *is bounded from* L^p *to* L^q *for* $1 < p \le q < \infty$ *if and only if* $A_S := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} A_S(t) < \infty$ *with*

$$A_S(t) := t^\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{w^q(x)\mathrm{d}x}{(x^\lambda + t^\lambda)^q}\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y}{(t^\lambda + y^\lambda)^{p'}}\right)^{1/p'}.$$

Moreover, $A_S \le \|S\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \gamma_S \cdot A_S$ *with a constant* γ_S *depending on* p, q *and* λ *only. If* $p = 1 \le q < \infty$ *then* $\|S\|_{L^p\to L^q} \approx A_{1,S}$, *where*

$$A_{1,S} := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{w^q(x)\mathrm{d}x}{(x^\lambda + t^\lambda)^q}\right)^{1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{y\in\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{v(y)}{t^\lambda + y^\lambda}.$$

(ii)[21, Theorem 2.1] *S is bounded from L^p to L^q for $1<q<p<\infty$ if and only if $B_S<\infty$ with*

$$B_S := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\frac{w^q(x)\mathrm{d}x}{(x^\lambda+t^\lambda)^q}\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\frac{v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y}{(1+[y/t]^\lambda)^{p'}}\right)^{r/q'} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r}.$$

Besides, $\|S\|_{L^p\to L^q}\approx B_S$ with constants of equivalence depending, possibly, on p, q and λ. If $q=1$ then

$$\|S\|_{L^p\to L^1} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\frac{w(x)\mathrm{d}x}{x^\lambda+t^\lambda}\right)^{p'} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/p'}.$$

In view of the relation

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[Hf(x)+H^*f(x)\right]\le Sf(x)\le Hf(x)+H^*f(x), \qquad f\ge 0, \tag{2.4}$$

some properties of S can be interpreted through the Hardy operator

$$Hf(x) := x^{-\lambda}w(x)\int_0^x f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y$$

and its dual transformation $H^*f(x) := w(x)\int_x^\infty f(y)y^{-\lambda}v(y)\mathrm{d}y$. Alternative criteria for L^p-L^q–boundedness of S, in comparison with Theorem 2.9, follow from results for Hardy integral operators and cover even the case $0<q<1$ (see theorems 4.1 and 4.2 for details).

Theorem 2.10. (i) *If $1<p\le q<\infty$ then the operator S is bounded from L^p to L^q if and only if $A_H+A_{H^*}<\infty$, where $\|S\|_{L^p\to L^q}\approx A_H+A_{H^*}$ and*

$$A_H := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} A_H(t) := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\left[V_0(t)\right]^{1/p'}\left[\mathcal{W}_t(\infty)\right]^{1/q}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$A_{H^*} := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} A_{H^*}(t) := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\left[\mathcal{V}_t(\infty)\right]^{1/p'}\left[W_0(t)\right]^{1/q}. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) *If $0<q<1<p<\infty$ or $1<q<p<\infty$ then $S:L^p\to L^q$ if and only if $B_H+B_{H^*}<\infty$, where $\|S\|_{L^p\to L^q}\approx B_H+B_{H^*}$ and*

$$B_H := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left[V_0(t)\right]^{r/p'}\left[\mathcal{W}_t(\infty)\right]^{r/p}t^{-\lambda q}w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r},$$

$$B_{H^*} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left[\mathcal{V}_t(\infty)\right]^{r/p'}\left[W_0(t)\right]^{r/p}w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r}.$$

Besides, if $q > 1$ *then*

$$B_H = (q/p')^{1/r}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[V_0(t)\big]^{r/q'}\big[\mathcal{W}_t(\infty)\big]^{r/p}v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r},$$

$$B_{H^*} = (q/p')^{1/r}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathcal{V}_t(\infty)\big]^{r/q'}\big[W_0(t)\big]^{r/q}t^{-\lambda p'}v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r}.$$

(iii) *Let* $0 < q \le 1 = p$. *If* $0 < q < 1$ *then the Stieltjes transformation* S *is* $L^1 - L^q$*–bounded if and only if* $B_{1,H} + B_{1,H^*} < \infty$, *where* $\|S\|_{L^1\to L^q} \approx B_{1,H} + B_{1,H^*}$ *and*

$$B_{1,H} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\bar{v}_0(t)^{q/(1-q)}\big[\mathcal{W}_t(\infty)\big]^{q/(1-q)}t^{-\lambda q}w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{(1-q)/q},$$

$$B_{1,H^*} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[t^{-\lambda}\bar{v}_t(\infty)\big]^{q/(1-q)}\big[W_0(t)\big]^{q/(1-q)}w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{(1-q)/q}.$$

If $q = 1$ *then*

$$\|S\|_{L^1\to L^1} \approx \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\bar{v}_0(t)\int_t^\infty x^{-\lambda}w(x)\mathrm{d}x + \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\bar{v}_t(\infty)t^{-\lambda}\int_0^t w(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

We conclude the paragraph by giving a general boundedness criterion for an integral operator T defined by (1.1) and acting from L^1 to L^q, when $1 < q < \infty$.

Theorem 2.11. [11, Ch. XI, §1.5, Theorem 4] *Let* $1 < q < \infty$. *The operator* T *with measurable on* $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+$ *kernel* $k_T(x,y) \ge 0$ *is bounded from* L^1 *to* L^q *if and only if*

$$\|\mathbf{k}_T\|_{L^\infty[L^q]} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\|w(\cdot)k_T(\cdot,t)v(t)\|_q < \infty.$$

Besides, $\|T\|_{L^1\to L^q} = \|\mathbf{k}_T\|_{L^\infty[L^q]}$.

2.2. **Compactness.** Suppose $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and $J \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ are intervals of finite Lebesgue measure, that is $\operatorname{mes} I := \int_I \mathrm{d}x < \infty$ and $\operatorname{mes} J < \infty$.

Let K be an integral operator from $L^p(I)$ to $L^q(J)$ of the form

$$Kf(x) = \int_I k(x,y)f(y)\mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in J.$$

Assume $K_0 : L^p(I) \to L^q(J)$ is a positive operator

$$K_0 f(x) = \int_I k_0(x,y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in J,$$

such that $Kf \le K_0|f|$. Then K is called *a regular operator* (see [12, § 2.2] or [19, Definition 3.5]) with a majorant operator K_0. Note that the last inequality ensues from the expression $|k(x,y)| \le k_0(x,y)$ (see [12, § 5.6]).

Below we adduce two remarkable results on compactness of linear regular integral operators by M.A. Krasnosel'skii, P.P. Zabreiko, E.I. Pustyl'nik and P.E. Sobolevskii [12]. The first theorem gives conditions for the compactness of integral operators from $L^p(I)$ to $L^q(J)$, when $0 < q \le 1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 2.12. [12, p. 94, Theorem 5.8] *Every linear regular integral operator $K : L^p(I) \to L^q(J)$ is compact, when $0 < q \le 1 < p < \infty$.*

The second theorem is on compactness of linear regular integral operators with compact majorants. The statement as well as Theorem 2.12 is true for any $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$.

Theorem 2.13. [12, p. 97, Theorem 5.10] *Take $|k(x,y)| \le k_0(x,y)$ and suppose K_0 is compact from $L^p(I)$ to $L^q(J)$ for $1 < p \le \infty$ and $1 \le q < \infty$. Then the operator $K : L^p(I) \to L^q(J)$ is compact as well.*

The next theorem is on relative compactness of a subset of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 \le q < \infty$.

Theorem 2.14. [1, Theorem 2.21] *Let $1 \le q < \infty$ and Ω be a bounded subset of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then Ω is relatively compact if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and a subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for every $g \in \Omega$ and every $h \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $h < \delta$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |g(x+h) - g(x)|^q \, \mathrm{d}x < \varepsilon^q, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus I} |g(x)|^q \, \mathrm{d}x < \varepsilon^q.$$

We finalize the section by giving a statement obtained in [13, Lemma 4] for Banach function spaces X and Y with absolutely continuous (AC) norms. A space X has AC-norm if for all $f \in X$, $\|f\chi_{E_n}\|_X \to 0$ for every sequence of sets $\{E_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\chi_{E_n}(x) \to 0$ a.e. We shall apply this statement when $X = L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $Y = L^q$, $1 \le q < \infty$.

Lemma 2.15. [13, Lemma 4] *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \le q < \infty$ and $T\colon L^p \to L^q$ be an integral operator of the form* (1.1). *T is compact if*

$$M_T := \Big\|\big\|w(x)k(x,\cdot)v(\cdot)\big\|_{p'}\Big\|_q < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

3. COMPACTNESS OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

Theorem 3.1. (i) *If $1 < p \le q < \infty$ then the operator $\mathcal{L} : L^p \to L^q$ is compact if and only if $A_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ and the conditions* (2.3) *are fulfilled.*
(ii) *Let $1 \le q < p < \infty$. If $q > 1$ then $\mathcal{L} : L^p \to L^q$ is compact if and only if $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$. If $q = 1$ then $\mathcal{L}$ is compact if and only if $B_p < \infty$.*
(iii) *Let $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$. The operator $\mathcal{L} : L^p \to L^q$ is compact if $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is compact from L^p to L^q then $\|B_q\|_{p'} < \infty$.*
(iv) *Let $0 < q < 1 = p$. $\mathcal{L}$ is compact from L^1 to L^q if $B_{q'} < \infty$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is $L^1 - L^q$–compact then $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) < \infty$.*
(v) *Let $1 = p \le q < \infty$. The operator $\mathcal{L}$ is $L^1 - L^q$-compact if and only if*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \bar{B}_q(t) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t\to 0} \bar{B}_q(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \bar{B}_q(t) = 0.$$

Proof. (i) *Sufficiency.* Suppose $A_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} A_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} A_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = 0$. Put $0 < a < b < \infty$ and denote

$$\mathcal{L}_0 f := \mathcal{L}(f\chi_{(a,b)}), \quad \mathcal{L}_1 f := \mathcal{L}(f\chi_{[0,a]}), \quad \mathcal{L}_2 f := \mathcal{L}(f\chi_{[b,\infty)}).$$

Obviously,

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathcal{L}_i f(x). \tag{3.1}$$

Since $A_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ then $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded from L^p to L^q by Theorem 2.2(a). This yields $L^p - L^q$–boundedness of the operator $\mathcal{L}_0 f$, which is regular with a majorant operator $\mathcal{L}_0|f|$ bounded from L^p to L^q as well.

According to Lemma 2.15 the operator $\mathcal{L}_0\colon L^p \to L^q$ is compact if

$$M_{\mathcal{L}_0} := \Big\| \big\| \chi_{(a,b)}(\cdot) k_{\mathcal{L}}(x,\cdot) v(\cdot) \big\|_{p'} \Big\|_q < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $0 < a < b < \infty$ and $v^{p'} \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have

$$M^q_{\mathcal{L}_0} \le \frac{1}{a^\lambda q} \big[V_a(b)\big]^{q/p'} < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_0$ is compact from L^p to L^q for any $0 < a < b < \infty$.

Now consider the operators $\mathcal{L}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. By Theorem 2.7(a) we have:

$$\|\mathcal{L}_1\|_{L^p \to L^q} \le 2\beta_1 \sup_{0 \le t \le a} t^{-\lambda/q} \big[V_0(t)\big]^{1/p'}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p \to L^q} \le \beta_1 \sup_{b \le t < \infty} t^{-\lambda/q} \big[V_b(t)\big]^{1/p'}. \tag{3.5}$$

The conditions (2.3) yield

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \sup_{0 \le t \le a} t^{-\lambda/q} \big[V_0(t)\big]^{1/p'} = \lim_{t \to 0} A_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \sup_{b \le t < \infty} t^{-\lambda/q} \big[V_b(t)\big]^{1/p'} \le \lim_{t \to \infty} A_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = 0.$$

Together with (3.4) and (3.5) this gives:

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \|\mathcal{L}_1\|_{L^p \to L^q} = 0, \qquad \lim_{b \to \infty} \|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p \to L^q} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, (3.1) implies

$$\|\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_0\|_{L^p \to L^q} \le \|\mathcal{L}_1\|_{L^p \to L^q} + \|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p \to L^q}, \tag{3.7}$$

and now the operator $\mathcal{L} : L^p \to L^q$ is compact as a limit of compact operators, when $a \to 0$ and $b \to \infty$.

Necessity. Suppose now $\mathcal{L}$ is compact from L^p to L^q. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is $L^p - L^q$–bounded and $A_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ by Theorem 2.2(a).

To prove (2.3) we assume $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is an arbitrary sequence. To establish the claim (i) in (2.3) suppose $\lim_{k \to \infty} z_k = 0$ and put

$$f_k(t) = \chi_{[0,z_k]}(t) [v(t)]^{p'-1} \big[V_0(z_k)\big]^{-1/p}.$$

Since $\|f_k\|_p = 1$ then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f_k(y) g(y) \mathrm{d}y \right| \le \left(\int_0^{z_k} |g(y)|^{p'} \mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/p'} \to 0, \qquad k \to \infty,$$

for any $g \in L^{p'}$. Therefore, the sequence $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ converges weakly to 0 in L^p. Compactness of $\mathcal{L} : L^p \to L^q$ yields strong convergence of $\{\mathcal{L}f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in L^q, that is $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\mathcal{L}f_k\|_q = 0$. Besides, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \biggl(\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f_k(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y\biggr)^q \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qxz_k^\lambda}\mathrm{d}x\bigl[V_0(z_k)\bigr]^{q/p'} = \frac{A_{\mathcal{L}}^q(z_k)}{q}.$$

Hence, $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_{\mathcal{L}}(z_k) = 0$. Since $\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is arbitrary, then (2.3)(i) is proved.

For the proof of (2.3)(ii) we suppose $\lim_{k\to\infty} z_k = \infty$ and put

$$g_k(t) = \chi_{[0,z_k^{-\lambda}]}(t)z_k^{\lambda/q'}.$$

Since $\|g_k\|_{q'} = 1$ we have

$$\biggl|\int_0^\infty f(x)g_k(x)\mathrm{d}x\biggr| \le \biggl(\int_0^{z_k^{-\lambda}} |f(x)|^q \mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{1/q} \to 0, \qquad k \to \infty,$$

for any $f \in L^q$, which means weak convergence of $\{g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^{q'}$. Compactness of $\mathcal{L} : L^p \to L^q$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, implies $L^{q'} - L^{p'}$–compactness of the dual operator

$$\mathcal{L}^* g(y) := v(y)\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} g(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Therefore, $\{\mathcal{L}^* g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ strongly converges in $L^{p'}$:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\mathcal{L}^* g_k\|_{p'} = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

We obtain

$$\int_0^\infty v^{p'}(y)\biggl(\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} g_k(x)\mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{p'} \mathrm{d}y \ge V_0(z_k)\biggl(\int_0^{z_k^{-\lambda}} \mathrm{e}^{-xz_k^\lambda}\mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{p'} z_k^{\lambda p'/q'}$$

$$\ge \mathrm{e}^{-p'}V_0(z_k)\biggl(\int_0^{z_k^{-\lambda}} \mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{p'} z_k^{\lambda p'/q'} = \mathrm{e}^{-p'} z_k^{-\lambda p'/q} V_0(z_k) = \mathrm{e}^{-p'} A_{\mathcal{L}}^{p'}(z_k).$$

Together with (3.8) this implies $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} A_{\mathcal{L}}(z_k) = 0$, and now the condition (2.3)(ii) is fulfilled by the arbitrariness of $\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Necessity in (ii), (iii) and (iv) follows by Theorem 2.2 from the hypothesis of compactness and, therefore, boundedness of $\mathcal{L}$.

(ii) *Sufficiency* of the conditions $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ (if $1 < q < p < \infty$) and $B_p < \infty$ (if $q = 1$) for the compactness of $\mathcal{L}$ is provided by Lemma

2.15 and Theorem 2.7(b). Namely, if $1 < q < p < \infty$ then Lemma 2.15 yields $L^p - L^q$-compactness of $\mathcal{L}_0$ (see (3.3)), while norms $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are estimated by Theorem 2.7(b) as follows:

$$\|\mathcal{L}_1\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \beta_2 \max\Big\{2, \big(r/p'\big)^{1/r}\Big\} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \chi_{[0,a]}(t) B_{\mathcal{L}}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r},$$

$$\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \beta_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \chi_{[b,\infty)}(t) B_{\mathcal{L}}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, the condition $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ and the estimate (3.7) implies compactness of $\mathcal{L}$ as $a \to 0$, $b \to \infty$. If $q = 1$ then $\mathcal{L}$ is compact by Lemma 2.15.

Sufficiency in (iii) and (iv) can be established as follows. Let $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ if $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ or $B_{q'} < \infty$ if $0 < q < 1 = p$. By Theorem 2.7(c) we obtain the estimate (3.9) for the case $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ (with β_3 instead of β_2). By the part (iv) of the same theorem we have for $0 < q < 1 = p$:

$$\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \beta_4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \chi_{[b,\infty)}(t) B_{q'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r}.$$

Thus, the condition $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ (or $B_{q'} < \infty$) yields $\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p\to L^q} \to 0$ as $b \to \infty$.

Now consider the operator $\mathcal{L}_b f := \mathcal{L}_0 f + \mathcal{L}_1 f = L(f\chi_{[0,b]})$. The hypothesis $B_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ (or $B_{q'} < \infty$) suffices for the boundedness of $\mathcal{L}$ (see Theorem 2.2). Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_b$ is bounded as well. To prove the compactness of $\mathcal{L}_b$ we shall use an extension of Theorem 2.12 for the case when an operator K is acting to L^q on the whole $\mathbb{R}^+$. Similar to [12, Theorem 5.8] we consider first a set $\mathcal{M}_h := \{f \in L^p(a,b)\colon |f| \le h\}$, where h is an arbitrary positive number. Under this condition and in view of $\mathrm{mes}[0,b] < \infty$ the operator $\mathcal{L}_b$ is bounded from $L^\infty[0,b]$ to L^q. Compactness of $\mathcal{L}_b : \mathcal{M}_h \to L^q$ can be proved similar to [12, Theorem 5.2]. It remains to note that the rest transformation $\mathcal{L}_b : \{L^p[0,b] \setminus \mathcal{M}_h\} \to L^q$ has a norm tending to 0 as $h \to +\infty$ (see [12, Theorem 5.8] for details). Therefore, the operator $\mathcal{L}_b : L^p[0,b] \to L^q$,

$0 < q < 1 \le p < \infty$, is compact as a limit of compact operators as $h \to +\infty$.

Summing up we can claim that (1.2) is compact from L^p to L^q, $0 < q < 1 \le p < \infty$, on the strength of $\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p \to L^q} \to 0$, $b \to \infty$, compactness of $\mathcal{L}_b$ and

$$\|\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_b\|_{L^p \to L^q} = \|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^p \to L^q}. \tag{3.10}$$

(v) *Sufficiency.* Suppose $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \bar{B}_q(t) < \infty$ and

$$\text{(i) } \lim_{t \to 0} \bar{B}_q(t) = 0, \text{(ii) } \lim_{t \to \infty} \bar{B}_q(t) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Put

$$\mathcal{L}_a f(x) := \mathrm{e}^{-xa^\lambda} \int_a^b f(y) v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where $0 < a < b < \infty$, and note that $\mathcal{L}_a$ is the operator of rank 1 with the norm

$$\|\mathcal{L}_a\|_{L^1 \to L^q} = q^{-1/q} a^{-\lambda/q} \bar{v}_a(b) < \infty.$$

Besides, $\mathcal{L}_a$ is a majorant for the operator $\mathcal{L}_0$, which is L^1-L^q–bounded with the norm estimated as follows:

$$\|\mathcal{L}_0\|_{L^1 \to L^q} = \|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1(a,b) \to L^q} = q^{-1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{a<t<b} \bar{B}_q(t) =: q^{-1/q} M < \infty.$$

Suppose $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an arbitrary bounded sequence in $L^1(a,b)$ and assume $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is its Cauchy subsequence, that is for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exists $N(\varepsilon_0)$ such that

$$\|f_{n_k} - f_{m_k}\|_{1,(a,b)} < \varepsilon_0, \qquad n_k, m_k > N(\varepsilon_0).$$

Put $E_{n_k,m_k}(\varepsilon) := \Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \colon \big|\mathcal{L}_0 f_{n_k}(x) - \mathcal{L}_0 f_{m_k}(x)\big| > \varepsilon\Big\}$. We have for any $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{E_{n_k,m_k}(\varepsilon)} \mathrm{d}x &\le \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Big| \int_a^b \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} [f_{n_k}(y) - f_{m_k}(y)] v(y) \mathrm{d}y \Big| \mathrm{d}x \\ &\le \varepsilon^{-1} \int_a^b y^{-\lambda} \big|f_{n_k}(y) - f_{m_k}(y)\big| v(y) \mathrm{d}y \\ &\le \varepsilon^{-1} M a^{-\lambda/q'} \|f_{n_k} - f_{m_k}\|_{1,(a,b)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon\delta a^{\lambda/q'}M^{-1}$ then $\mu_{L^q}(E_{n_k,m_k}(\varepsilon)) < \delta$ as $n_k, m_k > N(\varepsilon,\delta)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_0$ is compact in measure. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_0$ is $L^1 - L^q$–compact as a transformation majorated by the compact operator $\mathcal{L}_a$ (see [12, Ch. 2, §5.6]).

Further,

$$\|\mathcal{L}_1\|_{L^1\to L^q} = q^{-1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in[0,a]} B_q(t) \le q^{-1/q} \sup_{t\in[0,a]} \bar{B}_q(t),$$
$$\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{L^1\to L^q} \le q^{-1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in[b,\infty)} t^{-\lambda/q}\bar{v}_b(t) \le q^{-1/q} \sup_{t\in[b,\infty)} \bar{B}_q(t).$$

Since the conditions (3.11) are fulfilled we can state that $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are operators with small norms, when $a \to 0$, $b \to \infty$. Together with compactness of $\mathcal{L}_0$ this implies the compactness of $\mathcal{L}$ from L^1 to L^q for all $1 \le q < \infty$.

Necessity. Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is $L^1 - L^q$–compact. Then the claim $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \bar{B}_q(t) < \infty$ follows from theorems 2.2(iv), 2.1 and 2.11. As for necessity of (3.11)(i), note that

$$\mathcal{L}f = \mathcal{L}(f\chi_{[0,x^{-1/\lambda}]}) + \mathcal{L}(f\chi_{[x^{-1/\lambda},\infty)}) := \mathcal{L}_x f + \mathcal{L}^x f$$

and $\mathcal{L}_x$, $\mathcal{L}^x$ are compact. Besides, the condition (3.11)(i) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{k\to-\infty} 2^{-\lambda k/q}\bar{v}_0(2^k) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Now suppose the contrary. Then, similar to [8, p. 84], given $\gamma \in (0,1)$ there is a sequence $k_j \to -\infty$, some $\varepsilon > 0$ and functions $f_{k_j} \ge 0$, $\|f_{k_j}\|_{L^1} \le 1$, such that

$$\int_0^{2^{k_j}} f_m(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y \ge \gamma\,\bar{v}_0(2^{k_j}), \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{-k_j\lambda/q}\bar{v}_0(2^{k_j}) \ge \varepsilon.$$

By continuity of the integral, there are $\beta_{k_j} \in (0, 2^{k_j})$ such that

$$\int_{\beta_{k_j}}^{2^{k_j}} f_{k_j}(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y \ge \gamma^2\,\bar{v}_0(2^{k_j}).$$

Set $F_{k_j} = f_{k_j}\chi_{(\beta_{k_j},2^{k_j})}$. Then we have for k_i and k_j such that $2^{k_i+1} < \beta_{k_j}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{L}_x F_{k_i} - \mathcal{L}_x F_{k_j}\|_q^q &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \left| \int_0^{x^{-1/\lambda}} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda}[F_{k_i}(y) - F_{k_j}(y)]v(y)\mathrm{d}y \right|^q \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s^{-\lambda-1} \left| \int_0^s \mathrm{e}^{-(y/s)^\lambda}[F_{k_i}(y) - F_{k_j}(y)]v(y)\mathrm{d}y \right|^q \mathrm{d}s \\
&=: \|\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_x}F_{k_i} - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}_x}F_{k_j}\|_q^q \geq \|\chi_{(2^{k_i},2^{k_i+1})}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_x}F_{k_i} - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}_x}F_{k_j})\|_q^q \\
&\qquad = \|\chi_{(2^{k_i},2^{k_i+1})}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_x}F_{k_i}\|_q^q \\
&= \lambda \int_{2^{k_i}}^{2^{k_i+1}} s^{-\lambda-1} \left(\int_{\beta_{k_i}}^{2^{k_i}} \mathrm{e}^{-(y/s)^\lambda} f_{k_i}(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y \right)^q \mathrm{d}s \\
&\geq \mathrm{e}^{-1}\lambda \int_{2^{k_i}}^{2^{k_i+1}} s^{-\lambda-1}\mathrm{d}s \left(\int_{\beta_{k_i}}^{2^{k_i}} f_{k_i}(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y \right)^q \\
&\geq \gamma^{2q}\frac{2^\lambda - 1}{2^\lambda \mathrm{e}} 2^{-\lambda k_i}[\bar{v}_0(2^{k_i})]^q \geq \gamma^{2q}\frac{2^\lambda - 1}{2^\lambda \mathrm{e}}\varepsilon^q > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_x$ is not compact.

Necessity of (3.11)(ii) can be established by the similar way obtaining a contradiction with the compactness of $\mathcal{L}^x$. Another way to prove (3.11)(ii) for $q > 1$ is analogous to the proof of necessity (2.3)(ii) in the part (i) of this theorem. □

Remark 3.2. The condition $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \bar{B}_q(t) < \infty$ in (v) may be replaced by $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) < \infty$.

4. COMPACTNESS OF THE STIELTJES TRANSFORM

We start from boundedness and compactness criteria for the Hardy operator

$$H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}f(x) := \psi(x)\int_{c_1}^x f(y)\phi(y)\mathrm{d}y, \qquad 0 \leq c_1 \leq x \leq c_2 \leq \infty,$$

with weight functions $\phi^{p'} \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\psi^q \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Denote

$$\Phi_{c_1}(c_2) := \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \phi^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y, \qquad \Psi_{c_1}(c_2) := \int_{c_1}^{c_2} \psi^q(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Theorem 4.1. (i) [6, 8, 14, 15, 20] *Let $1 < p \le q < \infty$. The operator $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ is bounded from $L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ to $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ if and only if*

$$A_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \sup_{c_1<t<c_2} A_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) := \sup_{c_1<t<c_2} \big[\Phi_{c_1}(t)\big]^{1/p'} \big[\Psi_t(c_2)\big]^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where $\|H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx A_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$. Besides, $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ is compact if and only if $A_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{t\to c_1} A_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) = \lim_{t\to c_2} A_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) = 0.$$

(ii) [4, 14] *If $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ or $1 < q < p < \infty$ then $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ is bounded from $L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ to $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ if and only if*

$$B_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \left(\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \big[\Phi_{c_1}(t)\big]^{r/p'} \big[\Psi_t(c_2)\big]^{r/p} \psi^q(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/r} < \infty,$$

where $\|H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx B_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$. Moreover, finiteness of $B_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the compactness of $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ if $1 < q < \infty$.

(iii) [23] *Let $0 < q < 1 = p$. The Hardy operator $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ is bounded from $L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ to $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ if and only if $B_{q<1,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$, where*

$$B_{q<1,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \left(\int_{c_1}^{c_2} [\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{c_1<y<t} \phi(y)]^{q/(1-q)} \big[\Psi_t(c_2)\big]^{q/(1-q)} \psi^q(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{(1-q)/q}$$

and $\|H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx B_{q<1,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$.

(iv) [8] *If $p = 1 \le q < \infty$ then $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ is bounded from $L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ to $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ if and only if $B_{1\le q,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$, where*

$$B_{1\le q,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \sup_{c_1\le t\le c_2} \big[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{c_1<y<t} \phi(y)\big] \big[\Psi_t(c_2)\big]^{1/q}$$

and $\|H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx B_{1\le q,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$.

Some of the results collected in Theorem 4.1 are also referenced in [22] and [23]. Besides, similar statement is true for the operator

$$H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} f(x) := \psi(x) \int_x^{c_2} f(y)\phi(y)\mathrm{d}y, \qquad 0 \le c_1 \le x \le c_2 \le \infty.$$

Theorem 4.2. (i) *If* $1 < p \le q < \infty$ *then* $H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} : L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle \to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *if and only if*

$$A^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \sup_{c_1<t<c_2} A^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) := \sup_{c_1<t<c_2} \big[\Phi_t(c_2)\big]^{1/p'}\big[\Psi_{c_1}(t)\big]^{1/q} < \infty,$$

with $\|H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx A^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$. *Moreover,* $H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ *is compact if and only if* $A^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$ *and*

$$\lim_{t\to c_1} A^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) = \lim_{t\to c_2} A^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}(t) = 0.$$

(ii) $H_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ *is bounded from* $L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *to* $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *for* $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ *or* $1 < q < p < \infty$ *if and only if*

$$B^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \left(\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \big[\Phi_t(c_2)\big]^{r/p'}\big[\Psi_{c_1}(t)\big]^{r/p}\psi^q(t)dt\right)^{1/r} < \infty.$$

Besides, $\|H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^p\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx B^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ *and the condition* $B^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$ *is necessary and sufficient for the compactness of* $H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ *if* $1 \le q < \infty$.

(iii) [23] *Let* $0 < q < 1 = p$. *The Hardy operator* $H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ *is bounded from* $L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *to* $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *if and only if* $B^*_{q<1,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$, *where*

$$B^*_{q<1,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \left(\int_{c_1}^{c_2} [\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t<y<c_2}\phi(y)]^{q/(1-q)}\big[\Psi_{c_1}(t)\big]^{q/(1-q)}\psi^q(t)dt\right)^{(1-q)/q}$$

and $\|H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx B^*_{q<1,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$.

(iv) [8] *If* $p = 1 \le q < \infty$ *then* $H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$ *is bounded from* $L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *to* $L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle$ *if and only if* $B^*_{1\le q,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} < \infty$, *where*

$$B^*_{1\le q,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} := \sup_{c_1\le t\le c_2}\Big[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t<y<c_2}\phi(y)\Big]\big[\Psi_{c_1}(t)\big]^{1/q}$$

and $\|H^*_{\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}\|_{L^1\langle c_1,c_2\rangle\to L^q\langle c_1,c_2\rangle} \approx B^*_{1\le q,\langle c_1,c_2\rangle}$.

Now put $\Lambda := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\frac{w(x)\mathrm{d}x}{x^\lambda+y^\lambda}\right)^{p'} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/p'}$,

$$S_H := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\bar v_0(t)\big[\mathcal{W}_t(\infty)\big]^{1/q}, \qquad S_{H^*} := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\bar v_t(\infty)t^{-\lambda}\big[W_0(t)\big]^{1/q},$$

$$S_{H,a}(t) := \bar v_0(t)\big[\mathcal{W}_t(a)\big]^{1/q}, \qquad S_{H^*,a}(t) := \bar v_t(a)t^{-\lambda}\big[W_0(t)\big]^{1/q},$$

$$S_{H,b}(t) := \bar v_b(t)\big[\mathcal{W}_t(\infty)\big]^{1/q}, \qquad S_{H^*,b}(t) := \bar v_t(\infty)t^{-\lambda}\big[W_b(t)\big]^{1/q}.$$

Compactness criteria for the Stieltjes transformation $S : L^p \to L^q$ read

Theorem 4.3. (i) *If* $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ *then* $S : L^p \to L^q$ *is compact if and only if* $A_H + A_{H^*} < \infty$ *and* $\lim_{t\to 0}[A_H(t) + A_{H^*}(t)] = \lim_{t\to\infty}[A_H(t) + A_{H^*}(t)] = 0$.
(ii) *Let* $0 < q < p < \infty$ *and* $p > 1$. *If* $q \neq 1$ *then* S *is compact if and only if* $B_H + B_{H^*} < \infty$. *If* $q = 1$ *then* S *is* $L^p - L^1$*–compact iff* $\Lambda < \infty$.
(iii) *If* $0 < q < 1 = p$ *then* S *is* $L^p - L^q$*–compact if and only if* $B_{1,H} + B_{1,H^*} < \infty$.
(iv) *If* $p = 1 \leq q < \infty$ *then the operator* $S : L^p \to L^q$ *is compact if and only if* $S_H + S_{H^*} < \infty$ *and* $\lim_{a\to 0}\sup_{0<t<a}[S_{H,a}(t) + S_{H^*,a}(t)] = \lim_{b\to\infty}\sup_{b<t<\infty}[S_{H,b}(t) + S_{H^*,b}(t)] = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and suppose $A_H + A_{H^*} < \infty$ (see (2.5) and (2.6)). Besides, assume

$$(4.1) \qquad \text{(i)} \lim_{t\to 0}[A_H(t) + A_{H^*}(t)] = 0, \text{(ii)} \lim_{t\to\infty}[A_H(t) + A_{H^*}(t)] = 0.$$

By theorems 4.1(i) and 4.2(i) these conditions guaranty the compactness of the operator $H(|f|) + H^*(|f|)$, which is a majorant for the transformation S (see the relation (2.4)). From here the compactness of $S : L^p \to L^q$ ensues by Theorem 2.13.

The condition $A_H + A_{H^*} < \infty$ and (4.1) are also necessary for $L^p - L^q$–compactness of S, when $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, by standard arguments for the Hardy operators H and H^*.

(ii), (iii): Let $0 < q < p < \infty$ and $p \geq 1$.

If $q \neq 1$ we suppose $B_H + B_{H^*} < \infty$ for $p > 1$ and $B_{1,H} + B_{1,H^*} < \infty$ for the case $p = 1$. Compactness of S in the case $p > 1$ is guaranteed by $B_H + B_{H^*} < \infty$ (see theorems 2.12 and 2.13). If $p = 1$ and $B_{1,H} + B_{1,H^*} < \infty$ the compactness of S can be stated similarly to sufficiency of the conditions (iv) in Theorem 3.1.

If $q = 1$ then S is compact by Lemma 2.15 provided $\Lambda < \infty$.

Necessity of the condition $B_H + B_{H^*} < \infty$ in (ii) and $B_{1,H} + B_{1,H^*} < \infty$ in (iii) ensues from the compactness and, therefore, boundedness of the operator S.

(iv) It remains to consider the case $p = 1 \le q < \infty$. Suppose $S_H + S_{H^*} < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i) } \lim_{a\to 0} \sup_{0<t<a} [S_{H,a}(t) + S_{H^*,a}(t)] = 0, \\ &\text{(ii) } \lim_{b\to\infty} \sup_{b<t<\infty} [S_{H,b}(t) + S_{H^*,b}(t)] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and prove sufficiency of these assumptions for the $L^1 - L^q$–compactness of S.

In view of (4.2) given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $0 < r < R < \infty$ such that

$$\sup_{0<t<r} S_{H,r} < \varepsilon/7, \qquad \sup_{0<t<r} S_{H^*,r} < \varepsilon/7, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sup_{R<t<\infty} S_{H,R} < \varepsilon/7, \qquad \sup_{R<t<\infty} S_{H^*,R} < \varepsilon/7. \tag{4.4}$$

Now we divide S into a sum

$$Sf = S_{r,R}f + \sum_{i=1}^{2} [S_{r,i}f + S_{R,i}f]$$

of compact operators

$$S_{r,R}f := \chi_{(r,R)} S(f\chi_{(r,R)}), \qquad S_{r,1}f := \chi_{[0,R)} S(f\chi_{([0,r]}),$$

$$S_{R,1}f := \chi_{[R,\infty)} S(f\chi_{[0,R)}), \qquad S_{r,2}f := \chi_{[0,r]} S(f\chi_{(r,\infty)}),$$

$$S_{R,2}f := \chi_{(r,\infty)} S(f\chi_{[R,\infty)}).$$

To confirm the compactness of these operators we shall use a combination of Theorem 2.14 and [9, Corollary 5.1]. That is we need to show that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ and points $0 < s < t < \infty$ such that for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and for every $h > 0$ with $h < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i) } J_s^q(y) := \int_0^s |\mathbf{k}_S(x,y)|^q \,\mathrm{d}x < \varepsilon^q, \\ &\text{(ii) } J_t^q(y) := \int_t^\infty |\mathbf{k}_S(x,y)|^q \,\mathrm{d}x < \varepsilon^q \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$J_h^q(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathbf{k}_S(x+h,y) - \mathbf{k}_S(x,y)|^q \,\mathrm{d}x < \varepsilon^q, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathbf{k}_S(x,y) := w(x)k_S(x,y)v(y)$.

We start from the operator $\mathcal{S} := S_{r,1} + S_{r,R} + S_{R,2}$. Suppose $h < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and write

$$J_{h,\mathcal{S}}(y) = v(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} w^q(x)\left[\frac{1}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} - \frac{1}{(x+h)^\lambda + y^\lambda}\right]^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}.$$

For simplicity consider the case $\lambda = 1$ and denote

$$I^q_{(c_1,c_2)}(y,h) := \int_0^r \frac{w^q(x)\mathrm{d}x}{(x+y)^q(x+y+h)^q}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{h,\mathcal{S}}(y) &= h\chi_{[0,r)}(y)v(y)I_{(0,r)}(y,h) + h\chi_{[0,r)}(y)v(y)I_{(r,R)}(y,h) \\ &\quad + h\chi_{(r,R)}(y)v(y)I_{(r,R)}(y,h) + h\chi_{[R,\infty)}(y)v(y)I_{(r,R)}(y,h) \\ &\quad + h\chi_{[R,\infty)}(y)v(y)I_{(R,\infty)}(y,h) =: \sum_{i=1}^5 J_{h,i}(y). \end{aligned}$$

The conditions (4.3) and (4.4) imply $J_{h,1}(y) \le 2\varepsilon/7$, and $J_{h,5}(y) \le 2\varepsilon/7$. To estimate $J_{h,2}(y)$ note that

$$J_{h,2}(y) \le hr^{-1}\bar{v}_0(r)\big[\mathcal{W}_r(\infty)\big]^{1/q} \le hr^{-1}S_H.$$

From here, with $\delta = \varepsilon r/7S_H$ we obtain $J_{h,2} \le \varepsilon/7$. Analogously, $J_{h,4} \le \varepsilon/7$ if $\delta = \varepsilon r/7S_{H^*}$.

For $J_{h,3}$ note that $\bar{v}_r(R)\big[W_r(R)\big]^{1/q} < M < \infty$ provided $w \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $v \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Therefore, $J_{h,3}(y) \le hMr^{-2}$ and $J_{h,3}(y) \le \varepsilon/7$ if $\delta = \varepsilon r^2/7M$.

Summing up, we obtain $J_{h,\mathcal{S}}(y) < \varepsilon$ for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$, that is the condition (4.6) is satisfied. Fulfillment of the claims (4.5) ensues from (4.3) and (4.4) with $s = r$ and $t = R$. Thus, the sum $S_{r,1} + S_{r,R} + S_{R,2}$ is compact.

Compactness of the operator $S_{r,2}$ can be demonstrated as follows. The condition (4.5)(ii) is automatically fulfilled with $t = r$. To demonstrate (4.5)(i) note that $\|S_{r,2}\|_{L^1\to L^q} \approx \bar{v}_r(\infty)r^{-\lambda}\big[W_0(r)\big]^{1/q} \le S_{H^*} < \infty$. Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $0 < s \le r$ such that $J_{s,S_{r,2}}(y) < \varepsilon$. The condition (4.6) may be shown analogously with $\delta = \varepsilon r^\lambda / S_{H^*}$. Similar arguments work for the operator $S_{R,1}$.

Necessity of the conditions $[S_H + S_{H^*}] < \infty$ and (4.2) follow from [8, Lemma 1, Theorem 1] and the relation (2.4). $\square$

Remark 4.4. In some special cases the compactness of S can be established through the Laplace transformation (1.2). Indeed, by the representation

$$S = \mathcal{L}_w^*\mathcal{L}_v \tag{4.7}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_v \equiv \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_w^* f(x) := w(y)\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f(x)\mathrm{d}x$ we are able to state the compactness of $S : L^p \to L^q$ if the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled for either $\mathcal{L}_v : L^p \to L^r$ or $\mathcal{L}_w : L^{q'} \to L^{r'}$ of the form $\mathcal{L}_w f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f(y)w(y)\mathrm{d}y$. Here the parameter r' is such that $r' = r/(r-1)$ for $r > 1$. In particular, if $w \equiv v$ and $p = q' \le q = p'$ then $S : L^p \to L^{p'}$ is compact if

$$A = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} A(t) := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda/2}\big[V_0(t)\big]^{1/p'} < \infty$$

and $\lim_{t\to 0} A(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} A(t) = 0$. Necessity of these conditions can be proved by Theorem 2.9 and the inequality $A_S(t) \ge A(t)^2$.

5. CASES $p = \infty$ AND $q = \infty$.

In view of the representation (4.7) compactness criteria for the operator $\mathcal{L}$ from $p = \infty$ and/or to $q = \infty$ may be useful to state compactness of the transformation S. To obtain the criteria we traditionally start from boundedness.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the transform from L^∞ to L^∞. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded if and only if

$$C_1 := \|v\|_1 < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

If $\mathcal{L}$ is acting from L^∞ to L^q, $1 < q < \infty$, we remind that by Lemma 2.6

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^q \mathrm{d}x \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\Big(\int_0^y f(t)v(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big)^q y^{-\lambda-1}\mathrm{d}y.$$

Together with [14, §1.3.2, Th.1] this gives

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^\infty\to L^q} \approx \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda}\Big(\int_0^t v(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{q-1} v(t)\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{1/q} =: C_{q>1}.$$

If $q = 1$ then

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^\infty\to L^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} y^{-\lambda}v(y)\mathrm{d}y =: C_{q=1}.$$

Let $q < 1$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded from L^∞ to L^q if $C_{q>1} < \infty$. If $\mathcal{L} : L^\infty \to L^q$ boundedly then

$$C_{q<1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda/q} v(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is an operator from L^p to L^∞, when $p \geq 1$. If $p = 1$ then

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1\to L^\infty} = \|v\|_\infty =: C_\infty.$$

If $p > 1$ then $\mathcal{L}^*$ is acting from L^1 to $L^{p'}$, $p' > 1$. This yields

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\to L^\infty} = \|\mathcal{L}^*\|_{L^1\to L^{p'}} = \|v\|_{p'} =: C_{p'}.$$

The estimate $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^p\to L^\infty} \leq C_{p'}$ here and in (5.1) ensues from Theorem 2.11. The reverse inequality follows by applying a function $g(x) = \chi_{[0,t^{-\lambda})}(x)t^\lambda$ into the $L^1 - L^{p'}$–norm inequality for the operator $\mathcal{L}^*$.

Let C_q denote $C_{q>1}$ or $C_{q=1}$ depending on a range of the parameter q. Now we can state the required compactness criteria for $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 5.1. (i) *Let* $1 \leq q < \infty$. $\mathcal{L}$ *is compact from* L^∞ *to* L^q *iff* $C_q < \infty$.
(ii) *Let* $q < 1$. *The operator* $\mathcal{L}$ *is* $L^\infty - L^q$*–compact if* $C_{q>1} < \infty$. *If* $\mathcal{L}$ *is compact from* L^∞ *to* L^q *then* $C_{q<1} < \infty$.
(iii) *If* $1 < p \leq \infty$ *then* $\mathcal{L}$ *is* $L^p - L^\infty$*–compact if and only if* $C_{p'} < \infty$.

Proof. Proof of the results rests on [12, Theorem 5.2] and [1]. □

Remark 5.2. The operator $\mathcal{L}$ can not be compact from L^1 to L^∞ for any v.

Since the Stieltjes transformation S is two-weighted then its compactness criteria for $p = \infty$ and/or $q = \infty$ can be derived from the results of Sec. 4.

Acknowledgements. The European Commission is grant-giving authority for the research of the author (Project IEF-2009-252784). The work is also partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Project No. 09-01-98516) and Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Project No. 09-II-CO-01-003).

References

[1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[2] K.F. Andersen, Weighted inequalities for the Stieltjes transformation and Hilbert's double series, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, Sect. A **86**(1980), 75-84.

[3] K.F. Andersen, H.P. Heinig, Weighted norm inequalities for certain integral operators, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **14**(1983), 834-844.

[4] T. Andô, On compactness of integral operators, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. 65 = Indag. Math.* **24**(1962), 235-239.

[5] S. Bloom, Hardy integral estimates for the Laplace transform, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **116**(1992), 417-426.

[6] J.S. Bradley, Hardy inequalities with mixed norms, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **21**(1978), 405-408.

[7] D.L. Kreider, R.G. Kuller, D.R. Ostberg, F.W. Perkins, An introduction to linear analysis, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-Don Mills, Ont. 1966.

[8] D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka, L. Pick, Compactness of Hardy-type integral operators in weighted Banach function spaces, *Studia Math.*, **109**(1994), 73-90.

[9] S.P. Eveson, Compactness criteria for integral operators in L^∞ and L^1 spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **123**(1995), 3709-3716.

[10] A. Erdélyi, The Stieltjes transformation on weighted L^p spaces, *Appl. Anal.*, **7**(1978), 213-219.

[11] L.V. Kantorovich, G.P. Akilov, Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces, International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.

46. Oxford/London/Edinburgh/New York/Paris/Frankfurt, Pergamon Press, 1964.

[12] M.A. Krasnosel'skii, P.P. Zabreiko, E.I. Pustyl'nik, P.E. Sobolevskii, Integral operators in spaces of summable functions, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1976.

[13] E. Lomakina, V. Stepanov, On the Hardy-type integral operators in Banach function spaces, *Publ. Mat.*, **42**(1998), 165-194.

[14] V.G. Maz'ya, Sobolev Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1985.

[15] B. Muckenhoupt, Hardy's inequality with weights, *Studia Math.*, **44**(1972), 31-38.

[16] R. Oinarov, Boundedness and compactness of Volterra type integral operators, *Siber. Math. J.*, **48**(2007), 884-896.

[17] L.E. Persson, V.D. Stepanov, E.P. Ushakova, On integral operators with monotone kernels, *Doklady Mathematics*, **72**(2005), 491-494.

[18] D.V. Prokhorov, V.D. Stepanov, Weighted estimates for Riemann-Liouville operators and some of their applications, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **243**(2003), 278-301.

[19] H. Rafeiro, S. Samko, Dominated compactness theorem in Banach function spaces and its applications, Compl. Anal. Oper. Theory, **2**(2008), 669-681.

[20] S.D. Riemenschneider, Compactness of a class of Volterra operators, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **26**(1974), 385-387.

[21] G. Sinnamon, A note on the Stieltjes transformation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, Sect. A **110**(1988), 73-78.

[22] G.J. Sinnamon, Weighted Hardy and Opial-type inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **160**(1991), 434-445.

[23] G. Sinnamon, V.D. Stepanov, The weighted Hardy inequality: new proofs and the case $p = 1$, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **54**(1996), 89-101.

[24] V.D. Stepanov, E.P. Ushakova, Weighted estimates for the integral operators with monotone kernels on a half-axis, *Sib. Math. J.*, **45**(2004), 1124-1134.

[25] E.P. Ushakova, On singular numbers of generalized Stieltjes transformation, *Doklady Mathematics*, **81**(2010), 214-215.

[26] E.P. Ushakova, Estimates for the singular values of transformations of Stieltjes type, *Siber. Math. J.*, (1)**52**(2011), 159-166.

[27] A.H. Zemanian, Generalized integral transformations. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XVIII. Interscience Publishers [John Willey & Sons, Inc.], New York-London-Sydney, 1968.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK.

E-mail address: `elena.ushakova@york.ac.uk`

Computing Centre of the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Khabarovsk, 680000, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: `elenau@inbox.ru`